UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,598	04/22/2004	Jason A. Graetz	27-06	6855
23713 7590 04/20/2010 GREENLEE WINNER AND SULLIVAN P C 4875 PEARL EAST CIRCLE SUITE 200 BOULDER, CO 80301			EXAMINER	
			HODGE, ROBERT W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/20/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/829,598	GRAETZ ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ROBERT HODGE	1795			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allo</u>	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>			
(1) <u>ROBERT HODGE</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Tamala Jonas</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>14 April 2010</u>	Time: <u>2:30 PM</u>				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: 64					
Prior art documents discussed: US 2003/0013019					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:					
See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/Robert Hodge/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner notified applicants' representative that a new reference to Barker was found and that as written and broadly construed claim 64 read on the reference and further explained that the material in Barker contained Halides. Applicants' representative then suggested adding the purity of the silicon-germanium composition from the specification to claim 64 in order to remove Barker as a reference. The Examiner agreed that the amendment would be sufficient to overcome the reference and stated that the amendment would be made by Examiner's Amendment..